SOUTHWIND SHORES II BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING JUNE 5, 2004

On June 5, 2004, the SWS II Board of Directors came to order at 8:00AM at the home of Bob Hargis. Bob Hargis, Brad Richardson, Don Burridge, George Franklin, and Rosanne Chandlee were present.

It was suggested that there is a possibility that the fence issue may be brought up at the general meeting. If the topic is discussed, and fences are voted in, we will have to determine the kind of fence, color, size, etc that will be approved. Also we will need to decide whether to vote on the issue that particular day or wait for a special meeting designated expressly for fences.

George Franklin initiated a discussion about business' being run from homeowner homes—specifically, Chris Schuldt George brought to our attention that Mr. Schuldt has large trucks delivering material, a Bob-Cat in his driveway, and other material stored in front of his house which is inconsiderate of our community. The point being that it states in our covenants that residents are prohibited from having businesses in their home and the Board is setting a bad precedence by allowing this operation to continue. The board decision was that anyone having a complaint must submit the complaint in writing to the BOD. The board will study the problem to see what, if any, action can be taken or bring it up at the Annual Meeting for discussion.

George went on to say that while at the "bar" (Lakeview Restaurant) he had been approach by three different individuals who asked him "what was going on over there (meaning SWS II) with that dock business. "George wanted clarification from the other four Board members as to how they felt about the issue. Stating he was tired of having to answer questions about the dock business.

Bob stated, at this time, concerning this matter, the Board had received no such complaint only innuendo from a barroom discussion. Further, he stated that it was common knowledge that various businesses were being operated within the development. Also, he stated it was his belief and feeling that any action involving a persons livelihood (i.e. business) should be considered with two basic criteria: 1. Does the operation of the business adversely effect the quality of life of any individual property owner. 2. Does the business lower or adversely effect the value of anyone's property with in the SWS II development. This, he pointed out, was the criterion used by the Board when confronted with this same issue in the past.

Don then asked George, specifically, if he was the complainant. George replied that he was not, he only wanted clarification from the Board on what to say to people who complained to him. George also felt that it may come up at the annual meeting. The Board discussed the matter, in detail, each member stating their thoughts. It was decided anyone having a complaint should submit the complaint in writing or appear in person at a Board of Directors meeting to document their complaint. Thus, George was advised to merely tell the complaining party(s) to step forward and document their complaint. As for the Schuldt's dock business, it was felt that without a complaint there was no need for Board involvement at this time.

There have been a few mix ups in the billing for mowing the Common Area. Don Burridge and George Franklin will check into it.

A discussion was held about this years Annual Meeting. Everything is in order and the possibility of a picnic was discussed. Mr. Hargis requested each Board member have a small speech ready for the meeting concerning the responsibilities of their particular position and activities this year.

Meeting was adjourned at 10:00AM.

Respectfully submitted.

Rosanne Chandlee, Secretary